**The insights provided by each participant at the end of their interview for Theme 4 (TD Early Repayment Strategies):**

- Participant Insights

- Participant 1 (Angella):

- Insights: The importance of a checklist or guideline for development standards (CGDS) to ensure consistent adherence to important steps (CAIS).

- Participant 2 (Mooli):

- Insights: Highlighted the significance of visual aids, practical examples (VAPE), and accessibility (A) in guidelines for minimizing technical debt (GMTD).

- Participant 3 (Mubarak):

- Insights: Emphasized maintaining a clear project structure (CPS) for easier debugging and problem-solving (EDPS).

- Participant 4 (Roland Kizza):

- Insights: Suggested providing advice (SPA), blogs, and structured development practices (SDP) to minimize technical debt (MTD).

- Participant 5 (Richard):

- Insights: Stressed the importance of career advancement (CA) opportunities, professional development (PD), and providing incentives (PI) for managing technical debt (MTD).

- Participant 6 (Arnold Rukutatana):

- Insights: Requested a framework for identifying resource-heavy code segments (FRHCS) and tools (T) for choosing less resource-intensive technologies (LCRT).

- Participant 7 (Tugume Hastings):

- Insights: Emphasized the need to balance feature delivery (BFD) and debt management (DM). Continuous vigilance (CV) and adaptation (A) are vital (AV).

- Participant 8 (Ahimbisibwe Job):

- Insights: Highlighted the value of a step-by-step guide (SSG), learning tools (LT), proper documentation (PD), team building (TB), realistic planning (RP), and providing guidelines (GG).

- Participant 9 (Ben Okello Mwaka):

- Insights: Stressed the importance of providing structured development practices (SDP) and guidelines (G) to facilitate student understanding and application of best practices (SUA).

- Participant 10 (Agaba):

- Insights: Highlighted the need for a framework (F) for identifying resource-heavy code segments and tools (T) for choosing less resource-intensive technologies (LRT).

- Participant 11 (Kizza):

- Insights: Suggested incentives (I) such as career advancement (CA), professional development (PD), and financial incentives (FI).

- Participant 12 (Apollo Malomo):

- Insights: Emphasized the importance of recognizing fixing critical bugs (RFCB), education programs (EP), and decision-making involving technical debt (DITD).

- Participant 13 (Patrick):

- Insights: Stressed the need for encouraging code quality (ECQ), providing recognition (PR), and recognizing the value of fixing critical bugs (RFCB).

- Participant 14 (Kyeyune Habib):

- Insights: Highlighted the importance of continuous integration (CI), code documentation (CD), financial incentives (FI), and recognition (R).

- Participant 15 (Opolot):

- Insights: Suggested team building (TB), realistic planning (RP), providing guidelines (GG), and ensuring consistent adherence to important steps (CAIS).

- Participant 16 (Wanzala):

- Insights: Highlighted the significance of a framework (F) for identifying resource-heavy code segments, tools (T) for choosing less resource-intensive technologies, and incentives (I) such as career advancement (CA) and professional development (PD).

- Participant 17 (Martin):

- Insights: Stressed the importance of balancing feature delivery (BFD), debt management (DM), continuous vigilance (CV), and adaptation (A).

- Participant 18 (Ssekamanya):

- Insights: Emphasized the need for providing structured development practices (SDP), guidelines (G), and ensuring team building (TB).

- Participant 19 (Solomon):

- Insights: Highlighted the value of recognizing fixing critical bugs (RFCB), education programs (EP), and decision-making involving technical debt (DITD).

- Participant 20 (Hassan):

- Insights: Suggested providing advice (SPA), blogs, and structured development practices (SDP) to minimize technical debt (MTD).

- Participant 21 (Peter):

- Insights: Stressed the importance of step-by-step guides (SSG), learning tools (LT), proper documentation (PD), and providing guidelines (GG).

- Participant 22 (Isaiah):

- Insights: Highlighted the need for a checklist (CL) or guideline (G) for development standards (DS) to ensure consistent adherence to important steps (CAIS).

- Participant 23 (Job):

- Insights: Emphasized the importance of maintaining a clear project structure (CPS) for easier debugging (ED) and problem-solving (PS).

- Participant 24 (Saidi):

- Insights: Suggested providing advice (SPA), blogs (B), and structured development practices (SDP) to minimize technical debt (MTD).

- Participant 25 (Mabira Conrad):

- Insights: Highlighted the importance of maintaining a clear project structure (CPS) for easier debugging (ED) and problem-solving (PS).

- Participant 26 (Okure Peter):

- Insights: Stressed the need for a framework (F) for identifying resource-heavy code segments, tools (T) for choosing less resource-intensive technologies (LRT), and incentives (I) such as career advancement (CA) and professional development (PD).

- Participant 27 (Muganga Charles):

- Insights: Emphasized the importance of balancing feature delivery (BFD) and debt management (DM). Continuous vigilance (CV) and adaptation (A) are vital (AV).

- Participant 28 (Sarah Nsereko):

- Insights: Suggested step-by-step guides (SSG), learning tools (LT), proper documentation (PD), team building (TB), realistic planning (RP), and providing guidelines (GG).

These labels summarize the key insights provided by each participant in Theme 4 across all four parts. If you need further assistance or have any other questions, feel free to ask!